

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/729,569	KUSAKABE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ellen C. Tran	2134	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Ellen C. Tran.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas C. Basso.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 November 2006

**Time:** 3:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative).

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 No

Claims discussed:

*The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this application. Applicant's attorney agreed the following amendment to claims 1, 3, 5-9, 11, 14, 16, 18, 20-22, 27, and 28.*

Prior art documents discussed:  
 No


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)